AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMP ATTEMPTED, NOT KNOWN IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 **TC2600** OFFICIAL BUSINESS P.O. BOX 1450 Organization\_





### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/755,706	01/05/2001	Ray Jimenez	IPA-001A (5215/3)	5181	
75	590 07/01/2004		EXAMI	NER	
Alfred L. Brov	wne, III		MURPHY, R	HONDA L	
Browne Roseda	ile & Lanouette				
100 Brickstone	Square		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1st Floor	01010	RECEIVED	2667	8	
Andover, MA 01810		JUL 0 9 2004	DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	}	

Technology Center 2600

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	_
		09/755,70	6	JIMENEZ ET AL.	dered timely. le of this communication. (§ 133). as to the merits is 3.  Kaminer. (85(a). lee 37 CFR 1.121(d). form PTO-152.  Jational Stage
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Rhonda L	, ,	2667	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common in the provision of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. )) days, a reply within the state tutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the appl	int, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status					
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	this action is n	on-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practic	·	•		is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,10-14</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>2-5 and 9</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restrice.	e withdrawn from con			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 200	<u>)1</u> is/are: a)⊠ accep	ted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to				- *
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim and the control of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the prior	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage	
Attachmer	rt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		
3) X Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4.5</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality: Line 22 of page
 refers to a buffer designated as 150b. Figures 3a and 3c of the drawings show a
 buffer as 150a. It is suggested to change "150b" in the specification to read "150a".
 Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informality: Two periods are placed at the end of claim 10. One period should be removed. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1,6,7,10 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berstis (US 6,718,015), in view of Ball et al. (US 6,600,736), hereinafter referred as Ball.

**Regarding claim 1**, Berstis teaches a method for using an audio input from a telephony device to perform an action on an Internet Protocol network (col. 2, lines 5-7), the

method comprising: providing a telephony interface module (**processor 18**, Fig. 2); and receiving a signal at the telephony interface module from a second module (second module comprising **OS 20**, **Browser 16**, **Text-to-Speech 25 and Voice Recognizer 21**, Fig. 2) in communication with the telephony interface module (as depicted in Fig. 2).

Berstis fails to teach the following limitations taught by Ball: receiving at the telephony interface module from the telephony device a first packet signal (Fig. 2, items 205-telephone/IP server and 201-end user of telephone; Fig. 3A, step 301, col. 10. lines 52-55) conforming to a telephony packet protocol (note that a packet signal transmitted within a telephone/IP server is in a packet-based form, thus conforming to a telephony packet protocol); (i) a second packet signal conforming to an IP (Fig. 3A, step 303; the second packet signal received by the telephone/IP server is transmitted over IP network, thus conforming to an IP), the second packet signal having an audio portion and (ii) a command (Fig. 3A, step 303, 304; col. 10, lines 59-64); routing the first packet signal in accordance with the received command (Fig. 3A, step 305; col. 10, lines 64-67); converting, in the telephony interface module, the second packet signal to a third packet signal (Fig. 3A, step 306; col. 11, lines 1-3) conforming to a telephony packet protocol (the signal conforms to a telephony packet protocol as previously stated), and including an audio portion (the "played" signal represents the audio portion; col. 11, line 8) and transmitting the third packet signal to the telephony device (the telephone/IP server "plays" the page to the end user; col. 11, lines 7-9). Both Berstis and Ball teach a telephone server, Ball's server being a telephone/IP server.

In view of this, having the method of Berstis and then given the teachings of Ball, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to incorporate Berstis' telephone server with Ball's telephone/IP server to perform an action on the IP network, and performing as a gateway, in which the first packet signal received at the telephony interface module (or telephone server) conformed to a telephony packet protocol. The motivation to combine the teaching is to receive the advantage of utilizing the gateway for the packet signal transmission. Regarding claim 6 and 7, the combined method of Berstis and Ball teaches all aspects of the claimed invention set forth in the rejection of claim 1 as described above, and Ball further teaches using a telephony gateway (depicted as telephone/IP server 205 in Fig. 2) to convert an audio signal from a circuit switched signal (col. 5, lines 60-63) to the first packet signal conforming to a telephony packet protocol and having an audio portion. In addition, Ball further teaches using a telephony gateway to convert the third packet signal to a circuit switched signal (col. 11, lines 1-3; it is known in the art that a gateway performs the conversion between circuit switched signals and packet signals) thereby generating an audio signal receivable by the telephony device over the PSTN (col. 11, lines 7-9).

Regarding claim 10, Berstis teaches an audio web telephone system comprising a telephone server in communication with a PSTN, an Internet protocol network (see Fig. 2); an audio browser in communication with the telephone server (Fig. 2 illustrates browser 16 in connection with the telephone server) to receive the telephony packet

Application/Control Number: 09/755,706

Art Unit: 2667

protocol signal and in communication with the IP network, (Fig. 2 and 4, col. 1, lines 63-64; col. 2, lines 5-22).

Berstis fails to teach the system comprising a telephony gateway in which the telephone gateway a) receives a circuit switched signal from a telephony device over the PSTN and b) converts the circuit switched signal to a telephony packet protocol signal having an audio portion.

However, Ball teaches the above-mentioned limitations. In particular, Ball teaches a telephony gateway (telephone/IP server) that receives a circuit switched signal from an end user of a telephone set over the PSTN (col. 5, lines 60-63); and converts the circuit switched signal to a telephony packet protocol signal (as it is known in the art for the conversion to occur for transmission over an IP network) having an audio portion (spoken input from end user).

In view of this, having the method of Berstis and then given the teachings of Ball, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to incorporate Berstis' telephone server with Ball's telephony gateway (telephone/IP server) to enable the receipt and conversion of the circuit switched signal within the gateway. The motivation to combine the teaching is to obtain the benefit of routing and converting the signal within the gateway.

Regarding claim 11, the combined system of Berstis and Ball teaches all aspects of the claimed invention set forth in the rejection of claim 10 as described above, and Berstis further teaches an audio browser further comprising: a voice XML browser; (browser 16 of Fig. 2; Web content is typically formatted according to a given

markup language (e.g., HTML, XML or the like) col. 8, lines 7-10; also col. 2, lines 17-18); a navigation module; a content retrieval module (the navigation module and content retrieval module are represented by both voice recognizer 21 and text-to-speech 25 of Fig. 2; col. 2, lines25-38; col. 6, lines 38-41); and a telephony interface module (Fig. 2) processor 18).

Regarding claim 12, the combined system of Berstis and Ball teaches all aspects of the claimed invention set forth in the rejection of claim 10 as described above, and Berstis further teaches web cache (Fig. 4 item 44; information may be provided to the caller as the Web page is being retrieved. The retrieved page is then stored at step 44 for further processing col. 4, lines 62-65).

Regarding claim 13, the combined system of Berstis and Ball teaches all aspects of the claimed invention set forth in the rejection of claims 10 and 11 as described above, and Berstis further teaches the navigation module comprising one of speech recognition module and touch tone (DTMF) recognition module (includes a dual tone multifrequency ("DTMF") detector and a voice detector, Fig. 2 and col. 2, lines 26-27).

Regarding claim 14, the combined system of Berstis and Ball teaches all aspects of the claimed invention set forth in the rejection of claims 10 and 11 as described above, and Berstis further teaches the content retrieval module comprising one of text-tospeech module and streaming media module (Fig. 2 and col. 2, lines 25-26; col. 8, lines 5-10).

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berstis and Ball as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cave et al (US 6,404,746), hereinafter referred as Cave. Berstis and Ball, as modified, disclose a method for receiving a first packet signal conforming to a telephony packet protocol, as stated in the rejection of claim 1.

Berstis and Ball do not explicitly disclose the method of claim 8 wherein the telephony packet protocol conforms to one of a H.323 and a SIP communications standard. However, Cave teaches a method for a packet voice response unit, which utilize packet network protocols, such as H.323 and SIP standard (col. 6, lines 53-57; col. 21, lines 42-48).

In view of this, having the system of Berstis, in combination of Ball, and then given the teachings of Cave, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the method of Berstis, by utilizing one of H.323 and SIP standard, so as to provide enhanced services in a packet network (Cave, col. 6, lines 56-57), including call placement, progress and termination functions (Cave, col. 7, lines 1-3).

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 2-5, 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior arts do not teach the routing of the first packet signal to a navigation module in communication with the telephony interface module; converting, in the navigation module the audio portion of the first packet signal

to a text equivalent signal; converting, in the telephony interface module, the text equivalent signal to an IP network command signal and using the IP network command signal to retrieve a document from the IP network, as required by claim 2. Claims 3-5 are variously dependent from claim 2 and therefore, similarly include allowable subject matter. Furthermore, the prior arts do not teach generating, in the telephony device, the first packet signal conforming to a telephony packet protocol and having an audio portion, as required by claim 9.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited: US Patent 5,953,392 Rhie et al., US Patent 6,240,448 Imielinski et al., US Patent 6,144,667 Doshi et al., and US Patent 6,233,318 Picard et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rhonda L Murphy whose telephone number is (703) 308-9557. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday, between 8:00 – 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (703) 305-4798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/755,706

Art Unit: 2667

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rlm

RICKY NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER

## Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/755,706 Examiner Rhonda L Murphy Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination JIMENEZ ET AL. Page 1 of 1

### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	A	US-6,718,015	04-2004	Berstis, Viktors	379/88.17
	В	US-6,404,746	06-2002	Cave et al.	370/262
	O	US-5,953,392	09-1999	Rhie et al.	379/88.13
	D	US-6,600,736	07-2003	Ball et al.	370/352
	Е	US-6,240,448	05-2001	lmielinski et al.	709/218
	F	US-6,144,667	11-2000	Doshi et al.	370/401
	G	US-6,233,318	05-2001	Picard et al.	379/88.17
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	z					
	0	*				·
	Ρ					
	ď					
	R					
	S					
	T					

### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	<b>&gt;</b>	
-	w	
	×	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

DCT 12	5001	A JOE								
		<u>\$</u>								SHEET 1 OF 1
FORMA	FO.	1449			ATTORN	NEY DOC	KET NO.:	: IPA-001	A	TECEI
SUPPLE	MEN	TAL INFORM			APPLICANT(S): Jimenez et al.					PECE!  OCT 1 7 2  hnology Cente
DISCLO	SUKE	L STATEMEN.	l		SERIAL	NO.: 09/7	755,706		rec.	hnology Cente
					FILING I	DATE: Ja	nuary 5, 2	001 GF		2: 2645
			U.S	. PATENT	DOCUM	ENTS				
EXAM. INIT.		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME			CLASS	SUB CLASS		ING DATE IF PROPRIATE
RLM	A6	5,557,659	09/17/1996	Hyde-Tho	mson		379	88	12/2	21/1994
RUM	A7	5,884,262	03/16/1999	Wise et al.			704	270	03/2	28/1996
·	ļ				<del> ,</del> ,					
	<u> </u>									
		1		GN PATE	NT DOCU	JMENTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
EXAM. INIT.		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB CLASS	FILING DATE	ABSTRA ONLY	ACT	ENGLISH LANG (Y/N)
RLM	Bl	98/58332	23/12/1998	wo	<del> </del>		12/06/98			Y
RLM	B2	GB2317723	01/04/1998	GB			30/09/96			Y
<del></del>					<u> </u>					
<del></del>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	OTHED AD	T JOURN	AL ADT	ICLES E	TC			
EXAM. INIT.	ОТН	ER DOCUMENT	OTHER AR					Publication	on)	
RIM	C1	Houghton, E. et World Telecom	al. "A Packet T Congress Proce	elephony Gat	eway for Pu 5-44.	ıblic Netwo	rk Operator	rs" (Septen	nber 2	1, 1997)
			***		<del></del>					
					<del></del>					
EXAMINE	R 🥍	Gonda L7	Mush	4	DATE CO	NSIDERE	D 6/1	5/04		

# APR 30 200 PE

## RECEIVE()

MAY 0 3 2001

## Technology Center 2600

SHEET 1 OF 1

FORM I	PTO	1440			T					
MEGRACIE				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: IPA-001A						
				APPLICANT(S): Jimenez et al.						
						NO.: 09/	755,706			
		FILING	DATE: Ja	anuary 5, 2	001 GF	ROUP	: 2645			
			U.S	. PATENT	DOCUM	IENTS				
EXAM. INIT.		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME			CLASS	SUB CLASS	1	ING DATE IF PROPRIATE
RLM	Al	6,125,376	09/26/2000	Klarlund e	et al.		707	513	<b>-</b>	
RLM	A2	5,915,001	06/22/1999	Uppaluru			379	88.22		
RLM	A3	5,263,080	11/16/1993	Jones et al			379	88		
RLM	A4	5,193,110	03/09/1993	Jones et al			379	94		
RUM	A5	5,029,199	07/02/1991	Jones et al.			379	89		
										<del> </del>
	_		FOREIG	GN PATEN	NT DOCU	JMENTS				
EXAM. INIT.		*****		COUNTRY CLASS SUB CLASS			FILING ABSTRA DATE ONLY		CT	ENGLISH LANG (Y/N)
					l					
	·	(	OTHER AR	Γ, JOURN	AL ARTI	CLES, E	TC.			
EXAM. INIT.	отні	ER DOCUMENT	S: (Including	Author, Title	e, Date, Rel	evant Page	es, Place of	Publicatio	n)	
					-		<del></del>			
							·			
			_				<del></del> -			<del></del>
										<del></del>
EXAMINE	× 4/	hunda IN	Tue And	T	DATE CO	NSIDERE	D 6/15	104		
IRANDDG\:	5215\3.:	2077988 1	who and	L			- 1.5	1 - 1		